

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/020,008	12/13/2001	George Jackowski	2132.089	7244		
21917	7590 12/29/2005		EXAMINER			
	MCHALE & SLAVIN, P.A.			COOK, LISA V		
2855 PGA BLVD PALM BEACH GARDENS, FL 33410			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	, ·		1641			

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/020,008	JACKOWSKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lisa V. Cook	1641	

	Lisa V. Cook	1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 01 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	acausa			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			scause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		1 2 001011),				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		•	,			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) rejected: 1.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 39-46.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10.  ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered busee Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).,	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13.  Other:	onthe min of	C.K.				
LO	ING V. LE	~				
LONG V. LE  SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1999						

Ais flork 12/23/05

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments, drawings, and declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 were considered. However, the drawings/figures do not clearly show differential expression of the claimed bioplymer marker (SEQ ID NO:3). The argument and declaration recite a clear differential expression when controls and Alzheimer's patients are compared. This is not shown in the data provided. Accordingly the Final Action is maintained.

2